

Diquark approach to calculating the mass and stability of multi-quark states

A.R. Haghpeima*

Abstract— Diquarks may play an important role in hadronic physics particularly near the phase transitions (chiral, deconfinement points) current lattice QCD determinations of baryon charge distributions do not support the concept of substantial u-d scalar diquark clustering as an appropriate description of the internal structure of nucleon, thus vector diquarks are more favourable. By using of vector diquark ideas in the chiral limit diquark correlations in the relativistic region and imposing HF interactions between quarks in a vector diquark we calculated the mass of three multi-quark states (θ^+ pentaquark, H dibaryon and H_{cc} dibaryon), also by using of tunneling method we simultaneously calculated their decay width. Our approach is general and extendable to all multi-quark states. For θ^+ in the mass region $1480 \text{ MeV} < m_{\theta^+} < 1700 \text{ MeV}$ our model predicts that for $m_{\theta^+} > 1700 \text{ MeV}$ its width would be $\Gamma_{\theta^+} > 53 \text{ MeV}$ that shows there is a possibility for the existence of multi-quark states which are too wide to be detected by experiments. The paper achieves $M_{\theta^+} \approx 1540 \text{ MeV}$ and $\Gamma_{\theta^+} \approx 1.30 \text{ MeV}$ for the mass and width of θ^+ simultaneously which are in good agreement with experimental limits.

Index Terms—Stability of multi-quark states. Diquark approach, Hadronic Physics.

1 INTRODUCTION

QCD is believed to be the underlying theory of the strong interaction which has three fundamental properties: asymptotic freedom, color confinement, approximate chiral symmetry and its spontaneous breaking and in high energy level QCD has been tested up to the 1% level.

The behavior of QCD in the low energy is non perturbative and the SU(3) color group structure is non-abelian. However, beside the conventional mesons and baryons with the $q\bar{q}$ and $3q$ quark structuration respectively, QCD itself does not exclude the existence of the nonconventional states such as glueballs (gg, ggg, \dots) hybrid mesons ($q\bar{q}g$) and other multi-quark states ($qqqq, qq\bar{q}\bar{q}$) [1].

Do other multi-quark hadrons exist? $4q, 6q, 7q, \dots, Nq$, is there an upper limit for N? Study of these issues will deepen our understanding of the low-energy sector of QCD.

Thus we need to understand the underlying dynamics of these states and concepts such as confined quarks and gluons or fundamental concepts such as spin and mass of a confined quark and a free quark or the decay width of a hadron. There is a basic question:

Can low-energy QCD describe the underlying dynamical forces between quarks and gluons in multi-quark states and generate their mass and width correctly??

It is very difficult to calculate the whole hadron spectrum from first principles in QCD [2], under such a circumstance various models which are QCD-based or incorporate some important properties of QCD were proposed to explain the hadron spectrum and other low-energy properties. For more details, see Ref [3] in which the papers are explained further to some extent.

*A.R.Haghpeima(Corresponding Author) is currently Assistant Professor at Faculty of Sciences, Islamic Azad University, Mashhad Branch, Iran. E-mail: AlirezaHaghpeima@gmail.com

In the high temperature case, it is evident that strong interacting matter exists in the form of a quark-gluon plasma, but at low temperatures where the baryon density is high, bosonic states, diquarks and even longer quark clusters may play an important role, at this region of energy the diquarks form a Bose condensate and also there is a possibility of a pentaquark.

At 2 GeV/200 MeV hadronic systems can undergo a phase transition from the hadronic to a deconfined state of quarks and gluons, quark-gluon plasma (QGP) where quarks behave like free fermi gas. Thus diquarks may play an important role in hadronic physics particularly near the phase transitions (chiral, deconfinement points).

In fact the notion of diquark is as old as the quark model itself,

For example Gell-Mann mentioned the possibility of diquarks in his original paper on quarks. From the description of baryons as composed of a constituent diquark and quark by Ida and Kobayashi [4] and Lichtenberg and Tassie [5] up to now many articles have been written on this subject [6,7].

The microscopic origin of diquarks is not completely clear and its connection with the fundamental theory (QCD) not fully understood, apart from the cold asymptotic high dense baryon case, in which the quarks form a fermi surface and perturbative gluon interactions support the existence of a diquark BCS state known as color superconductor.

There is hyperfine interaction (HF) between quarks in a diquark which indeed is induced by instantons, and besides of these interactions there is confinement interaction between them. The hyperfine energy lies between the deconfinement and the asymptotic freedom regions.

There are several models in which there are scalar and/or tensor diquarks and successfully describe hadron properties, but current lattice QCD (LQCD) determinations of baryon charge distributions do not support the concept of substantial

u - d scalar diquark clustering as an appropriate description of the internal structure of the baryons. Furthermore, the overlap of a displaced scalar diquark source and the positive parity ground state for θ^+ pentaquark is 0.03 [9], indicating that vector diquarks maybe more favourable. Both the LQCD and QCD sum rule results reject existence of a low-lying positive parity state for θ^+ [10] this paper calculate the mass and decay width of two interesting multiquark states by using of vector diquarks.

2 DIQUARK APPROACH

Effective field theories are not just models, they represent very general principles such as analyticity, unitarity, cluster decomposition of quantum field theory and the symmetries of the systems. For example chiral perturbation theory (X pt) describes the low-energy behavior of QCD within the framework of an effective theory(at least in the meson sector), for a recent review see e.g. [11] and for some examples [12].

With a conventional X pt if we consider group theoretical clustering between quarks and hyperfine QCD interactions between them, we have a correlated PT between quarks or correlated perturbative chiral quark model CPXQM. In fact this theory describes correctly the physics of QCD between the confinement scale and the chiral symmetry breaking scale [14].

In other case we are working with non - correlated theories [15].

It is well known that the multiquarks cannot be a simple n-quark states in ground state, because they would freely recombine and decay in baryons and mesons, with a very broad decay width.

If we discuss about a correlated PXQM with explicit symmetry on configuration, the hyperfine interactions (FS, CS) would be considered on the n-quark subsystems (diquarks,), and a special form of confining potential.

Although the diquark approach in our model is extendable to all possible multiquark states, we consider the θ^+ pentaquark and H dibaryon as two examples of multiquark states and use the diquark approach for calculating their mass and decay width simultaneously.

The limit on the θ^+ width is very low [16] $\Gamma_\theta \neq 1MeV \ll \Gamma_B$ for conventional baryon resonances and in quark model this picture is less clear.

3 PENTAQUARK STATES

Here, pentaquark states are studied in a correlated perturbative chiral quark model (CPXQM) Hamiltonian. Any pentaquark state can be formally decomposed in combinations of simpler colour-singlet clusters, the energy of the state is computed with the state matrix element of the Hamiltonian.

The paper suggest that the θ^+ baryon is a composed state of two vector diquarks and a single antiquark, the spatially wave function of these diquarks has a p - wave and a s - wave in angular momentum in the first and second versions of our model respectively.

The $[2]^f$ flavor symmetry of each diquark leads to $[22]^6$ flavor symmetry for q and the $[2]^s$ spin symmetry of each diquark leads to $[22]^s$ and $[31]^s$ spin symmetry for q^4 in the first version and second version of the model respectively.

The color symmetry of each diquark is $[11]^c$ and for the first version of the model we assume $[2]^c$ for one of the diquark pairs, this leads to $[211]^c$ color symmetry for q^4 .

The orbital symmetry of each diquark is $[2]^o$ and for the first version we assume $[11]^o$ for one of the diquark pairs, this leads to $[31]^o$ and $[4]^o$ orbital symmetry for q in the first and second version of the model respectively.

As the result of these considerations we would have for q Contribution of quarks, $([1111]^{oc}$ and $[4]^{fs})$ and $([211]^{oc}, [31]^{fs})$ For the first and second versions; and $[1111]^{ocfs}$ for q^4 is the same for the two versions and lead to a totally antisymmetric wave function for q^4 due to Pauli principle.

By introducing the quark and antiquark operators and by taking direct product of two diquarks and one antiquark the θ^+ state can be represented by $SU_f(3)$ tensors.

We denote a quark with q_i and antiquark \bar{q}^i with in which $i, j=1, 2, 3$

Denote u, d, s and impose normalization relations as

$$(q_i, q_j) = \delta_{ij}, (q^i, q^j) = \delta^{ij}, (q_i, \bar{q}^j) = 0$$

And in (p, q) notation we consider a tensor $T_{a_1, \dots, a_p}^{b_1, \dots, b_q}$ which is

completely symmetric in upper and lower indices and traceless on every pair of indices. We introduce

$$S_{jk} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(q_j q_k + q_k q_j) \text{ and } A_{jk} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(q_j q_k - q_k q_j) \text{ then for a quark}$$

And an antiquark we would have

$$\text{Quark } \mathbf{3}: T_i$$

$$\text{Antiquark } \bar{\mathbf{3}}: T^i = \varepsilon^{ijk} A_{jk} \quad ijk = 1,2,3$$

Where ε^{ijk} is the levi-civita tensor and we have

$$(T^i, T^j) = 4\delta^{ij}, (T_i, T_j) = 4\delta_{ij}, (S_{jk}, S_{lm}) = \delta_{jl}\delta_{km} + \delta_{jm}\delta_{kl}, (S_{jk}, T^i) = 0$$

For q^4 we have

$$(3 \otimes 3 \otimes 3 \otimes 3) = (6 \oplus \bar{3}) \otimes (6 \oplus \bar{3}) = (6 \otimes 6) \oplus (6 \otimes \bar{3}) \oplus (\bar{3} \otimes 6) \oplus (3 \otimes \bar{3}) \\ = (15_1 \oplus 15_2 \oplus \bar{6}) \oplus (15_2 \oplus \bar{3}) \oplus (15_1 \oplus \bar{3}) \oplus (\bar{6} \oplus 3) \quad (1)$$

And for $q^4 \bar{q}$ we have

$$[3 \otimes 3 \otimes 3 \otimes 3] \otimes \bar{3} = ([15_1 \oplus 15_2 \oplus \bar{6}] \oplus [15_2 \oplus 3] \oplus [15_1 \oplus 3] \oplus [\bar{6} \oplus 3]) \otimes \bar{3}$$

$$= 2(15_1 \otimes \bar{3}) \oplus 2(15_2 \otimes \bar{3}) \oplus 2(\bar{6} \otimes \bar{3}) \oplus 2(3 \otimes \bar{3}) \quad (2)$$

$$= 2(35 \oplus 10) \oplus 2(27 \oplus 10 \oplus 8) \oplus 2(\bar{10} \oplus \bar{8}) \oplus 2(8 \oplus 1)$$

The tensor notation of all of these multiplets can be constructed as T_i and T^i .

For example the tensor notations for $\bar{10}$ and 8 are

$$\bar{10}: T^{ijk} = \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{3}}(S^{ij} \bar{q}^k + S^{jk} \bar{q}^i + S^{ki} \bar{q}^j) + \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{3}}(T^{ij} \bar{q}^k + T^{jk} \bar{q}^i + T^{ki} \bar{q}^j), (3)$$

$$8: P_j^i = \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{2}}(T_j \bar{q}^i - \frac{1}{3} \delta_j^i T_m \bar{q}^m) + \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{2}}(Q_j \bar{q}^i - \frac{1}{3} \delta_j^i Q_m \bar{q}^m) + \frac{c_3}{\sqrt{2}}(\tilde{Q}_j \bar{q}^i - \frac{1}{3} \delta_j^i \tilde{Q}_m \bar{q}^m)$$

$$+\frac{c4}{\sqrt{3}}\epsilon_{jab}S^{ia-b}+\frac{c5}{\sqrt{3}}\epsilon_{jab}T^{ia-b}+\frac{c6}{\sqrt{15}}T_{jk}^i\bar{q}^k+\frac{c7}{\sqrt{15}}\tilde{T}_{jk}^i\bar{q}^k+\frac{c8}{\sqrt{15}}S_{jk}^i\bar{q}^k \quad (4)$$

In which

$$\tilde{Q}_m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}}T^l S_{ml}, \quad \tilde{T}_{jk}^i = T^i S_{jk} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\delta_j^i \delta_k^m + \delta_k^i \delta_j^m)\tilde{Q}_m,$$

$$T^{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\epsilon^{iab}\epsilon^{jcd}S_{ac}S_{bd}, \quad \tilde{Q}_m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}}T^l S_{ml},$$

$$S_{jk}^i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\epsilon^{ilm}(S_{jl}S_{km} + S_{kl}S_{jm}), T_{jk}^i = S_{jk}T^i - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\delta_j^i \delta_k^m + \delta_k^i \delta_j^m)Q_m$$

The nomenclature for pentaquark states based on hypercharge is as follow

$$Y=0 \quad \Sigma, \Lambda, \quad Y=-2 \quad \Omega$$

$$Y=-1 \quad \Xi, \quad Y=-3 \quad X$$

Which in the notation $T_{a_1, \dots, a_p}^{b_1, \dots, b_q}$ we have

$$Y = p_1 - q_1 + p_2 - q_2 + \frac{3}{2}(p - q),$$

$$I_3 = \frac{1}{2}(p_1 - q_1) - \frac{1}{2}(p_2 - q_2), \quad p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = p \quad \text{and} \quad q_1 + q_2 + q_3 = q$$

$$Q = I_3 + Y/2.$$

Now the $SU_f(3)$ symmetry lagrangian would be

$$L_{10-8_3} = g_{10-8_3} \epsilon^{ilm} \bar{T}_{ijk} B_j^i M_m^k + (H.C) = -\sqrt{6}\bar{\Theta}^+ (pK^0 - nK^+) + \dots(5)$$

Where B_j^i and M_m^k the 3 - quark baryon octet and meson octet respectively and g_{10-8_3} is the universal coupling constant.

The flavor symmetry contribution for q configuration comes from the decomposition formula (2) for $q^4\bar{q}$ in which we have two $\bar{6}_f$ the second one is used in J'W model [13] and the first one is used in our model due to vector diquark contribution of it.

Thus the tensor notations for $\bar{10}_f$ our model comes from Eq (3) with ($C_1 = 0$ and $C_2 \neq 0$) also the tensor notations for 8_f in our model comes from Eq (4) with $C_i = 0 \quad i \neq 5 \quad C_5 \neq 0$.

Inserting 10 tensor notation into symmetry lagrangian leads to $SU_f(3)$ symmetry interactions which experimental evidence of them would be explored and the discovery of them will support the vector diquark approach.

Considering flavor configuration of q^4 in Eq (1), one can see that there are 15 and 15 multiplets which comes from $6 \otimes 6$ normal products and this leads to two 45 multiplets for flavor configurations of $q^4\bar{q}$ in Eq(2) in which there is octet, decuplet, 27 plet and 35 plet.

The $SU_f(3)$ configurations of these multiplets are $[321]_8$, $[411]_{10}$, $[42]_{27}$ and $[51]_{35}$ and the $SU_{fs}(6)$ configurations of them are $[33111]_{560}$, $[321111]_{70}$, $[41111]_{56}$ and $[51111]_{700}$

The tensor notations of 15_1 and 15_2 are T_{jklm} and S_{jk}^i respectively

$$T_{jklm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(S_{jk}S_{lm} + S_{lk}S_{jm} + S_{lj}S_{km} + S_{km}S_{jl} + S_{lm}S_{jk}),$$

$$S_{jk}^i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\epsilon^{ilm}(S_{jl}S_{km} + S_{kl}S_{jm}) \quad (6)$$

And by multiplying to tensor notation of $\bar{q}(T^i)$ we lead to tensor notations of (8, 10, 27, 35) plets.

In fact there is noting in quark model to prevent us for constructing such multiplets in which they have vector diquarks and the discovery of them will be evidence supporting the vector diquark approach.

Briefly the spin - flavor - color and parity of our model for the first version and second one are as follows

$$\left\{ |QQ\rangle^{l=1,3_c, \bar{6}_f} \bar{q}^{j=\frac{1}{2}, \bar{3}_c, \bar{3}_f} \right\}^{J^\pi = (\frac{1}{2}^+ \oplus \frac{3}{2}^+), 1_c, (\bar{10}_f \oplus 8_f)} \quad (7)$$

$$\left\{ |QQ\rangle^{l=0,3_c, \bar{6}_f} \bar{q}^{j=\frac{1}{2}, \bar{3}_c, \bar{3}_f} \right\}^{J^\pi = (\frac{1}{2}^- \oplus \frac{3}{2}^-), 1_c, (8_f \oplus \bar{10}_f)} \quad (8)$$

We have considered $[4]_{126}^{fs}$ and $[31]_{210}^{fs}$ for the flavor - spin configurations of q^4 in the first and second versions of our model respectively, this leads to $[51111]_{700}$ and $[42111]_{1134}$ for the flavor - spin configurations of $q^4\bar{q}$, but if one assume the angular momentum $l=1$ for the four quarks in q^4 there are several allowed $SU_{fs}(6)$ representations for $q^4\bar{q}$ which are $[51111]_{700}$, $[42111]_{1134}$, $[33111]_{560}$ and $[32211]_{540}$ based on $[4]^{fs}$, $[31]^{fs}$, $[22]^{fs}$ and $[211]^{fs}$ $SU_{fs}(6)$ representations for q^4 respectively.

The constituent quark model have not been yet derived from QCD, therefore it is useful to consider the Effective Hamiltonian approach and by using of diquark ideas in the chiral limit diquark correlations in the relativistic region and imposing HF interactions between quarks in a diquark, fig.1, we led to introducing a conventional, Hamiltonian for pentaquark

$$H(q^4\bar{q}) = T(q^4\bar{q}) + V^{bin}(q^4\bar{q}) \quad (9)$$

Containing Kinetic energy, binding and hyperfine interactions

$$V^{bin}(q_i q_j) = a \frac{\vec{\lambda}_i \cdot \vec{\lambda}_j}{2} \frac{(\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j)^2}{2} \quad (10)$$

$$V^{cs}(q_i q_j) = -b \frac{\vec{\lambda}_i \cdot \vec{\lambda}_j}{2} \frac{\vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j}{2} \quad (11)$$

FIG.1: Diquark configuration in coordinate space.

Where a and b are interaction constants also λ_i and S_i are color and spin matrices respectively.

We have neglected confinement and flavor - spin interactions and Considered V^{cs} as a noncontact interaction.

Now in the $q^4\bar{q}$ rest frame, we define the internal variables, fig.2

$$\vec{r}_1 = -\frac{\mu}{4m} \vec{R}_1 + \frac{1}{2} \vec{R}_2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \vec{R}_3$$

$$\bar{r}_2 = -\frac{\mu}{4m}\bar{R}_1 + \frac{1}{2}\bar{R}_2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{R}_3$$

$$\bar{r}_1 = -\frac{\mu}{4m}\bar{R}_1 - \frac{1}{2}\bar{R}_2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{R}_4$$

$$\bar{r}_1 = -\frac{\mu}{4m}\bar{R}_1 - \frac{1}{2}\bar{R}_2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{R}_4$$

FiG.2: $q^4\bar{q}$ rest frame. $\bar{r}_q = \frac{\mu}{m_q}\bar{R}_1$

μ Is the $q^4\bar{q}$ reduced mass, $\frac{m_q 4m}{4m+m_q}$.

Thus we would have for the Kinetic energy

$$T(q^4\bar{q}) = \frac{\bar{v}_{R_1}^2}{2\mu} + \frac{\bar{v}_{R_2}^2}{2m} + \frac{\bar{v}_{R_3}^2}{2m} + \frac{\bar{v}_{R_4}^2}{2m} \quad (12)$$

The orbital wave function is

$$\psi_m = N[aR_2 Y_{1m}(\hat{R}_2) e^{-a^2 R_2^2/2}][Y_{00}(\hat{R}_3) e^{-\beta^2 R_3^2/2}][Y_{00}(\hat{R}_4) e^{-\beta^2 R_4^2/2}][Y_{00}(\hat{R}_1) e^{-\beta^2 R_1^2/2}] \quad (13)$$

We have

$$\langle R_1^2 \rangle = \frac{3}{2\gamma^2} \quad \langle R_2^2 \rangle = \frac{5}{2a^2} \quad \langle R_3^2 \rangle = \langle R_4^2 \rangle = \frac{3}{2\beta^2}$$

$$\langle V^{bin}(q^4) \rangle^{q^2-q^2} = \frac{5}{3}a(R_3^2 + R_4^2) + \frac{2}{3}aR_2^2$$

$$\langle V^{bin}(\bar{q}) \rangle^{\bar{q}^2-q^2} = \frac{1}{3}a(R_3^2 + R_4^2) + \frac{1}{3}aR_2^2 + \frac{4}{3}aR^2 \quad (14)$$

$$\langle V^{cs}(q^4) \rangle^{q^2-q^2} = \frac{2}{3}b(\bar{S}_1 \cdot \bar{S}_2 + \bar{S}_3 \cdot \bar{S}_4) + \frac{1}{6}b(\bar{S}_1 \cdot \bar{S}_3 + \bar{S}_1 \cdot \bar{S}_4 + \bar{S}_2 \cdot \bar{S}_3 + \bar{S}_2 \cdot \bar{S}_4)$$

$$\langle V^{bin}(\bar{q}) \rangle^{\bar{q}^2-q^2} = \frac{1}{3}b\bar{S}(q^4) \cdot \bar{S}(\bar{q})$$

$$\langle \bar{v}_{R_1}^2 \rangle = \frac{3\gamma^2}{2} \quad \langle \bar{v}_{R_2}^2 \rangle = \frac{5a^2}{2} \quad \langle \bar{v}_{R_3}^2 \rangle = \langle \bar{v}_{R_4}^2 \rangle = \frac{3\beta^2}{2}$$

Now we consider this solution for the second version of our model which $l=0$, after calculating the mass of a vector diquark from color-spin interaction between quarks $M_{ud} \cong 520$ MeV and assuming $m_s \cong 450$ MeV and $T \cong 50$ MeV the resulted mass of Θ^+ pentaquark would be 1540 MeV.

Since the diquark masses (e.x, scalar or vector,) are smaller than the constituents, they are stable against decay near mass shell, in such a configuration, the diquarks are nearby and "tunneling "of one of the quarks between the two diquarks may take place.

We suppose that the small Decay widths of Θ^+ is due to tunneling of one of the quarks between the two vector diquarks. Thus in the decay process $\Theta^+ \rightarrow K^+ N$ a (d) quark tunnels from a diquark (ud) to the other diquark to form a nucleon (udd) and an off-shell (u) quark which is annihilated by the anti- strange quark. The decay width of this process is

$$\Gamma_{\Theta^+} \cong 5.0e^{-2S_0} \frac{g^2 g_A^2}{8\pi f_K^2} |\psi(0)|^2 \quad (15)$$

Which we have used WKB approximation for the tunneling amplitude and $\Delta E = (m_u + m_d - M_{ud})$. The $\psi(0)$ is the 1S wave function of quark - diquark at the origin and can be written as

$$\psi(0) = \frac{2}{a_0^{3/2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \quad (16)$$

According to our model the Kinetic energy

$$T \cong \frac{\bar{v}_{R_2}^2}{2m} = \frac{3a^2}{4m} \cong 50 \text{ MeV this leads to } a \cong 148 \text{ and then}$$

$$r_0 = \langle R_2 \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{5}{2a^2}} \cong 0.010 \text{ Mev}^{-1} \quad (17)$$

Where $g^2 = 3/03, g_A = 0.75$ from the quark model and $\Delta E = (m_u + m_d) - M_{ud}$ and $M_{ud} \cong 520$ MeV in our model.

Inserting this values into Eq (15) Inserting this values into Eq

$$\Gamma_{\Theta^+} \cong 1.30 \text{ Mev} \quad (18)$$

Which is unusually narrow and comparable with the experimental limit [18] $\Gamma_{\Theta^+} \cong 1 \text{ Mev}$.

The best result has been reported by the E19 experiment at J-PARC, where the THETA signal was searched for in the hadronic reaction. The E19 upper limit on THETA decay width is 1.9 MeV assuming the Θ^+ spin-parity of $1/2^-$ which is consistent with our theoretical results [18]. On the other hand, a group from the CLAS collaboration has recently re-analyzed their data and reported a narrow statistically-significant peak near 1540 MeV, tentatively interpreted as the THETA signal [18].

We have calculated the Θ^+ pentaquark decay width for a range of its mass, $1500 \text{ Mev} < M_{\Theta^+} < 1700 \text{ Mev}$. We find

$$\Gamma(\Theta^+ \rightarrow K^+ N) \cong 0.0003 \text{ MeV,}$$

Table1 and fig.3.

$M_{\Theta^+} \text{ Mev}$	$T \text{ Mev}$	$T_0 \text{ Mev}^{-1}$	$\Gamma_{\Theta^+} \text{ Mev}$
1500	10	0.023	0.0003
1510	20	0.016	0.0700
1520	30	0.013	0.4800
1530	40	0.012	0.9200
1540	50	0.011	1.3000
1550	60	0.009	3.8300
1560	70	0.008	5.1200
1570	80	0.008	5.1200
1580	90	0.007	13.5900
1590	100	0.007	13.5900
1600	110	0.007	13.5900
1610	120	0.006	17.7600
1620	130	0.006	17.7600
1630	140	0.006	40.8900
1640	150	0.006	40.8900
1650	160	0.005	53.6700
1660	170	0.005	53.6700
1670	180	0.005	53.6700
1680	190	0.005	53.6700
1690	200	0.005	53.6700
1700	210	0.005	53.6700




FIG.3: The calculated width of the negative- parity Θ^+ pentaquark is shown for the decay $\Theta^+ \rightarrow K^+ N$.

Now the paper extend the diquark model which previously formulated to describe exotic baryon Θ^+ , to dibaryons.

4 DIBARYON STATES

In 1977 a bound six-quark state (uuddss), the H -dibaryon, was predicted in a bag-model calculation by Jaffe [18]. This

state is the lowest $SU_f(3)$ flavor singlet state with spin zero, strangeness -2 and $J^P = 0^+$

We consider the direct product space of the $J^\pi = \frac{1}{2}^+$ baryon octet with itself in terms of irreducible representations of $SU_f(3)$

$$8 \otimes 8 = 1 \oplus 8 \oplus 8 \oplus 10 \oplus \bar{10} \oplus 27$$

The hypercharge (Y) ranges from +2 (NN, nucleon-nucleon) to -2 ($\Xi\Xi$) for these dibaryon states. The Y = 2 member include the deuteron of the 10 - multiplet. The Y = 1 states contain $N\Lambda$ and $N\Sigma$ and the Y= 0 members contain $N\Lambda$, $N\Xi$, $N\Sigma$ and $\Sigma\Sigma$ dibaryons. There is several doubly-strange dibaryon states in the $SU_f(3)$ multiplets which do not directly decay to and may appear as resonances in the $\Lambda\Lambda$ and $N\Xi$ systems. The lowest - order processes in $K^- d \rightarrow K^0 \Lambda\Lambda$ reaction resulted to H - dibaryon production is shown in fig.4.




Fig 4: The lowest-order processes in $K^- d \rightarrow K^0 \Lambda\Lambda$ reaction.

One of the great open problems of intermediate energy physics is the question of existence or nonexistence of dibaryons. Early theoretical models based on SU(3) and SU(6) symmetries [19, 20] and on Regge theory [19, 21] suggest that dibaryons should exist. There is QCD-based models predict dibaryons with strangeness S = 0, -1, and -2. The invariant masses range between 2 and 3 GeV [22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30]. The masses and widths of the expected 6-quark states differ considerably for these models. But it seems that all QCD models predict dibaryons and none forbids them. Until now, about 30 years after the first predictions of the S = -2 H-dibaryon by Jaffe this question is still open.

We consider H dibaryon, fig.5, which composed of uudds, three vector ud - ud - ss diquarks, each of which is a color antitriplet and is symmetric in flavor and spin and orbital space, this leads to a six - quark state which is color singlet, we ignore the Pauli principle for quarks in different diquarks in the limit that diquarks are point like, but two quarks in each diquark satisfy this principle.

Now by using of diquark ideas in the chiral limit diquark correlations in the relativistic region and imposing HF interactions between quarks in a diquark, we led to introducing a conventional Hamiltonian




FIG.5: H dibaryon which composed of three vector diquarks.

$$H(H) = T(H) + V^{CS}(H) \quad (19)$$

$$T(H) = \frac{\vec{v}_R^2}{2m}$$

$$\psi_m = N \left[aRY_{1m}(\hat{R}) e^{-a^2 R^2} \right] \quad (20)$$

The orbital wave function is

We calculated the masses of vector diquarks using color - spin interactions as HF interaction between quarks in a diquark.

If we take over the results and consider a T=450 MeV Kinetic energy as the binding energy for the H dibaryon the mass of it would be equal to the sum of the Ξ^0 and N masses.

We suppose that Decay widths of is due to tunneling of one of the quarks between the two vector diquarks.

In the decay process $H \rightarrow \Xi^0 N$ a (d) quark tunnels from a diquark ud to the other diquark to form a nucleon (udd) and an off-shell (u) quark which forms Ξ^0 with the other diquark.

According to our model the Kinetic energy

This leads to $a \cong 444$ and then

$$r_0 = \langle R \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{5}{2a^2}} \cong 0.003 \text{ Mev}^{-1} \quad (21)$$

We have $g^2 = 3/03, g_A = 0.75$ from the quark model and $\Delta E = (m_u + m_d) - M_{ud}$ Where $M_{ud} \cong 520$ MeV in our model.

Inserting this values into Eq (15) we find

$$\Gamma_H \cong 45 \text{ Mev} \quad (22)$$

Now the paper consider another six-quark state uu-dd-cc (H_{cc}) composed of three vector diquarks. The decay width of the process $H_{cc} \rightarrow XUN$ would be

$$\Gamma_{H_{cc}} \cong 52 \text{ Mev} \quad (23)$$

Another method for calculating the mass and stability of the Θ^+ pentaquark is based on our diquark - diquark - antiquark approach of our model in which we have used a long - range nonperturbative binding energy for the confinement interaction between two diquarks (QQ), fig.6, in the form

$$V(r_1, r_2) = a |\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2|^2 \quad (24)$$

$$r = |\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2| \quad (25)$$




FIG.6: Θ^+ pentaquark which composed of two vector diquarks and one antiquark.

Where a is the binding strength.

In the model the two light quarks compose a bound diquark system in the antitriplet color state with HF (CS, FS) interactions between quark pairs; now we suppose each diquark as a localized color source in which the light quarks moves; interaction forces between diquarks (Q's) then lead to the formation of a two - particle bound state of a QQ system, the scale of which is determined by the quantity $1 / m$ which is smaller than the QCD scale $1 / \Lambda_{QCD}$ (Λ_{QCD} is $\sim 400 \text{ Mev}$).

We assume the light antiquark influence on the diquark (Q) dynamics is small due to universal nature of diquarks. For the Θ^+ (1540), particle production is not cinematically possible and the motion is non-relativistic ($v^2 / c^2 = 0.08$ for the nucleon and 0.30 for the kaon). Therefore it should be possible to use the methods of non-relativistic theory based on Schrodinger equation.

Thus we use a Schrödinger - like equation for the QQ system as

$$H\psi(r) = E\psi(r) \quad (26)$$

Where

$$H = T + V(r) \quad (27)$$

And E is the total binding energy of the system, this leads to

$$E = a / m \quad (28)$$

If we consider $\psi(r) = Ne - ar^2$ for the wave function of the system.

The expectation value of potential is

$$\langle V \rangle = 3a / 4a \quad (29)$$

Now for the second version of our model we have

$M_{ud} \cong 520\text{Mev}$ and $m_s \cong 450\text{Mev}$, thus if we consider $E \cong 50\text{Mev}$, the

resulted mass of Θ^+ pentaquark would be 1540 MeV.

Finding (a) from Eq (28) and inserting it into

$$\langle r^2 \rangle = 3 / 4a \quad (30)$$

Leads to

$$\bar{r}_0 \cong 0.009\text{Mev}^{-1} \quad (31)$$

For the relative distance of diquarks in the QQ system.

This result is in agreement with our previous one Eq (17) and

leads to $\Gamma \cong 1.30\text{Mev}$ width for Θ^+ pentaquark using of tunneling approach.

5 CONCLUSIONS

Assuming a (CPXQM) effective field theory, we suggested that the Θ^+ baryon is a bound state of two vector diquarks and a single antiquark, the spatially wave function of these diquarks has a P-wave and a S-wave in angular momentum in the first and second version of our model respectively.

At the first we constructed the total OCFS symmetry of $q^4\bar{q}$ contribution of quarks.

Then by using of diquark ideas in the chiral limit diquark correlations in the relativistic region and imposing HF interactions between quarks in a diquark, we led to introducing a conventional Hamiltonian and by considering its solution for the second version of our model we led to Θ^+ pentaquark mass.

According to these considerations the paper calculated the average distance between two diquarks which leads to a reasonable width $\Gamma_{\Theta^+} \cong 1.30\text{Mev}$ for the Θ^+ due to tunneling model.

The observed width of Θ^+ is unexpectedly narrow, considering that the decay of Θ^+ requires no pair creation and goes through a fall-apart process [31]. Attempts have been made to explain the width based on the quark model [32] and QCD sum rule [33, 35].

However most of them suggest the symmetry properties of the orbital - color spin - flavor wave function. Furthermore, such choices of the wave function seem quite artificial.

Although the 2005 JLab experiment and some others found no pentaquarks in the mass region $1480\text{Mev} < m_{\Theta^+} < 1700\text{Mev}$, but there is also some new positive results [30]. Our paper predicts

that for $m_{\Theta^+} > 1700\text{Mev}$ its width $\Gamma_{\Theta^+} < 53\text{Mev}$, and there is a possibility for the existence of pentaquark states which are too wide to be detected by experiments. The confinement potential between two vector quarks, which the distance between them $r_0 < 0.005$, may be responsible for the stability of such multi-quark states.

Now there is new strong evidence of an extremely narrow

Θ^+ from DIANA [17,18] in reaction $K^{*n} \rightarrow K^0 p$ the mass of the pK^0 resonance is $m = 1537 \pm 2\text{Mev}/c^2$ with an upper limit on intrinsic width $\Gamma = 0.36 \pm 0.11\text{Mev}/c^2$ which is in agreement with our limits. The null results from CLAS are compatible with expectations based on cross section considerations [38, 39].

By extending our diquark model to dibaryons the paper calculated some estimations of the mass and width of the H dibaryon.

In a method by using of a Schrödinger - like equation for the QQ system in our model, we calculated the mass and the

width of the Θ^+ pentaquark. Our results in this method, for example the average distance between diquarks, are in agreement with our previous conclusions.

Our theoretical results on the mass and width of Θ^+ and H are in agreement with many experimental limits and one can use our vector diquark approach and tunneling method for simultaneously calculating the mass and width of other multi-quark states, for example those multiplet states which we have introduced in Eq (2) for pentaquarks in which they have vector diquarks for example recently reported charmed pentaquarks[40-45] in the framework of the quark model and QCD interactions using effective short-ranged color-magnetic interactions of the constituents and using of a mass formula which has generated a wide discussion [46-48].

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like thank Arifa Ali Khan for discussions and for useful comments.

References

- [1] S. Eidelman et al, "Particle Data Group," *Phys. Lett. B* 592, 1 (2004).
- [2] A. Cabo Montes de Oca et al, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 4 7, 355, (2006). hep-ph/0008003 v4 22 May (2002).
- [3] A. R. Haghpayma, hep-ph/0606214 v1 20 Jun (2006), hep-ph/0606270 v1 26 Jun (2006).
- [4] M. Ida, R. Kobayashi, *Progr. Theory. Phys.* 36, 846 (1966).
- [5] D. B. Lichtenberg, L. J. Tassie, *Phys. Rev.* 155, 1601 (1967).
- [6] M. Kirchbach, M. Moshinsky, Y. F. Smirnov, *Phys. Rev.D* , 114005 (2001). F. Wilczek, hep-ph/0409168 v2 17 Sep (2004), R. L. Jaffe, *Phys. Rept.* 409, 1(2005).
- [7] M. Anselmino et al, *Rev. Mod., Phys.* 65, 1199 (1993). W. Brodowski et al, *Z. Phys. A* 355, 5 (1996).
- [8] D. Ebert et al, *Phys.Rev.C72*, 015201 (2005), hep-ph/0608304 v2 17 Nov (2006). J. Bardeen et al, *Phys. Rev.* 108 (5), 1175 (1957).
- [9] O. John , *PoS LAT*, 069 (2005), hep-lat/0509102 v1 23 Sep (2005).
- [10] M. Oka, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.A* , 807 (2006), hep-ph/0509060 v1 7 Sep (2005).

- [11] V.V. Anisovich+, et al, arXiv: 1706.01336 v1 [hep-ph] 5 Jun (2017).
- [12] A. Ali Khan, hep-lat/0507031 v3 24 Oct (2005).
- [13] R. Jaffe, F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 232003 (2003).
- [14] T. Inoue et al, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E, 995 (2005), hep-ph/0407305 v2 25 Nov (2005).
- [15] Deog Ki Hong et al, Phys. Lett. B596, 191 (2004), hep-ph/0403205 v2 3 Jan (2004).
- [16] Volker D. Burkert, Int. J. Mod.Phys.A , 1764 (2006), hep-ph/0510309 v2 7 Nov (2005).
- [17] A. G. Oganessian, hep-ph/0608031 v1 3 Aug (2006).
- [18] M. Moritsu et al. PARC E19 Collaboration .Phys. Rev. C 90. 035205, (2014). M.J. Amarian et al., Phys. Rev. C 85, 035209 (2012). R. De Vita et al. [CLAS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 74, 032001 (2006).V. V. Barmin et al, [DIANA Collaboration], hep-ex/0603017 v2 21 Apr (2006). arXiv: 1507.06001v5. 10 jun (2016) .
- [19] R.L. Jaffe, Phys. Rev. Lett.38, 195 (1977).
- [20] R. J. Oakes, Phys. Rev. 131, 2239 (1963).
- [21] F. J. Dyson, N.H. Xuong, Phys. Rev. Lett.13, 815 (1964).
- [22] S. Graffi, Lett. Nuo. Cim. 2, 311 (1969).
- [23] A.T. M. Aerts, et al, Phys. Rev. D 17 (1978) 260; D 21, 1370 (1980).
- [24] P. J. G. Mulders et al, Phys. Rev.D 19, 2635 (1979); D 21, 2653 (1980).
- [25] R. L. Jaffe, Nucl. Phys. A, 167 (1997), hep-ph/9705407 v1 23 May (1997). Tsutomu Sakai, Phys. Lett. B, 168 (1998), hep-ph/9708433 v2 7 May (1998).
- [26] C. W. Wong, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 8, 223 (1982).
- [27] Glennys R. Farrar, et al, Phys. Rev. D 70, 014008 (2004), hep-ph/0308137 v1 12 Aug (2003). Shi-Lin Zhu, Phys. Rev. C 70, 045201 (2004), hep-ph/0405149 v2 18 May (2004). Hourong Pang et al, Phys. Rev. C 69, 065207 (2004), nucl-th/0306043 v2 2 Apr (2004).
- [28] A. T. M. Aerts, C. B. Dover, Nucl. Phys. B 253,116 (1985).
- [29] P. La France, E. L. Lomon, Phys. Rev. D 34, 1341 (1986), P. Gonzalez, E. L. Lomon, ibid. D34, 1351 (1986), P. Gonzalez et al, ibid. D 35, 2142 (1987).
- [30] Yu. S. Kalashnikova et al, Yad. Fiz. 46, 1181 (1987), trans. Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 46, 689(1987).N. Konno et al, Phys. Rev. D 35, 239 (1987), T. Goldman et al, Phys. Rev. C 39, 1889 (1990). A. E. Asratyan and V. A. Matveev arXiv: 1608.08523 v1 [hep-ex] 30 Aug (2016). N. Akopov, arXiv: 1412.7317 v2 [hep-ex] 27 Apr (2015). J. Beringer et al. (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. D 86, 010001 (2012).
- [31] R. L. Jaffe, A. Jain, Phys. Rev. D 71, 034012 (2005), hep-ph/0408046 v2 28 Jan (2005).
- [32] D. Melikhov et al, Phys. Litt.B. 594 265 (2004), hep-ph/0405037 v2 27 May (2004).
- [33] M. Eidemuller et al, Phys. Rev. D 72, 034003, (2005), hep-ph/0503193 v1 18 Mar (2005).
- [34] Z. G. Wang, Phys. Rev.D 72, 034012 (2005), hep-ph/0504151 v3 31 Jul (2005).
- [35] D. Melikhov, B. Stech, Phys. Lett. B608, 59 (2005), hep-ph/0409015 v2 8 Des (2004).
- [36] CLAS collaboration, B. Mckinnon et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 212001 (2006), hep-ex/0603028 v1 14 Mar (2006) R. De Vita et al. [CLAS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 74, 032001 (2006), hep-ex/0606062 v1 27 Jun (2006), S. Niccolai et al. [CLASCollaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 032001 (2006).
- [37] R. Alkofer et al., hep-ph/0610365 v1 27 Oct (2006).
- [38] Dmitri Diakonov, hep-ph/0610166 v1 13 Oct (2006).
- [39] V. Guzey, hep-ph/0608129 v1 11 Aug (2006). [2] Z.-G. Wang, Nucl.Phys. B 913, 163 (2016).
- [40] L. Maiani, A.D. Polosa and V. Riquer, Phys.Lett. B749, 289 (2015), arXiv: 1507.04980v1 [hep-ph].
- [41] V.V. Anisovich, M.A. Matveev, J. Nyiri, A.V. Sarantsev, A.N. Semenova, Pentaquarks and resonances in the pJ/ψ-spectrum, arXiv:1507.07652v1 [hep-ph], (2015).
- [42] V.V. Anisovich, M.A. Matveev, J. Nyiri, A.V. Sarantsev and A.N. Semenova,Int. J. Mod.Phys. A 30 No. 32, 1550190 (2015).
- [43] M.I. Eides, V.Yu. Petrov, M.V. Polyakov, Phys.Rev. D 93 no.5, 054039 (2016).
- [44] A. Esposito, A. Pilloni, A.D. Polosa, Phys.Rept.668, 1 (2016).
- [45] E. Oset et al., Nucl.Phys. A 954, 371 (2016).
- [46] I.A. Perevalova, M.V. Polyakov, P. Schweitzer, Phys.Rev. D 94 no.5, 054024 (2016).
- [47] A. Ali, I. Ahmed, M.J. Aslam, A. Rehman, Phys.Rev. D 94 no.5, 054001 (2016).
- [48] T. Skwarnicki, Observation of J/ψp Resonances Consistent with Pentaquark States, in Proc. 27th International Symposium on Lepton Photon Interactions at High Energy (LP15),(Ljubljana, Slovenia, August 17-22,(2015).
- [49] E.Wang, H.-X. Chen, L.-Sh. Geng, D.-M. Li, E. Oset, Phys.Rev. D 93 no.9, 094001 (2016).
- [50] Sh. Stone, Exotic hadrons at hadron colliders, Proc. 13th Conference on Flavor Physics and CP Violation (FPCP 2015), (Nagoya, Japan, May 25-29,(2015).

IJSER

Cover page

•A.Haghpeima(Corresponding Author) is currently Assistant Professor at Faculty of Sciences, Islamic Azad University, Mashhad Branch,Iran.E-mail: AlirezaHaghpeima@gmail.com